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Abstract

This essay examines how it is possible to convey Ancient Greek language skills and knowledge using a modified version of the language game 'New Amici™' which was originally designed to teach Modern languages. The work involved elaborating on the set of rules using the playing instructions and playing the game 'New Amici™' to gain personal playing experience. Furthermore two important German curriculum documents which provided information about the characteristics and the objectives of the Modern and the Ancient language education were utilized. Firstly, the German-English version of the game was analyzed with the focus being on the language cards which were the most important issue. Afterwards the teaching principles of Ancient Greek and the Modern languages were compared to show the main didactic differences. This knowledge about the different styles in teaching languages was applied by modifying the target perspective of the encountered language game to come to an Ancient Greek version. Finally a modified course of how to change the rules regarding the language cards and some sample language cards were provided. The conclusion arrived at is that speaking Ancient Greek is in contrast to the Modern languages not a learning objective in the curriculum. However, since every language learner needs a minimum of active vocabulary which is part of the fascination which arises when learning languages in the travel round tasks where the player has to translate from his or her native language into Ancient Greek are included. In addition, new categories of the language cards were introduced to the game, since the categories in the English-German version hardly include teaching the subject-related strategies which are considered to be centrally in Ancient Greek language education.

Word Count: 277
1 Introduction

According to Lakki Patey who is the inventor of the language game „New Amigos!™“ "Sprache ist eine Brücke zwischen den Kulturen und „New Amici!™‘ ist der Schlüssel dazu."

This essay deals with the German-English version of the above mentioned language game which is marketed by the Hueber Verlag since 2008. Since I am interested in the old languages I want to investigate if „New Amici!™‘ which is originally intended for the new languages also could be the key to the Ancient Greek language and culture. Thus, my research question reads as follows. How to modify the language cards in the game „New Amici!™‘ in order to convey Ancient Greek language skills and knowledge?

For the creation of an old Greek version I will firstly analyze the German-English variant of the game. Thereupon, there will be a comparison of the teaching principles of Ancient Greek and new languages. This will show the differences and what to pay attention to when designing language cards for an Ancient Greek version of the game. When the basis is established a modified course of the game with other modified features will be planned in order to finally convey the Ancient Greek language using the game „New Amici!™‘.

I will only include the language cards to the research question because I want to keep it narrowed, since there are also other features of the game that could be analyzed but would be beyond the scope.

Regarding the methodology, I will initially use the information given to me by the companies marketing the game, Californian Products and Hueber Verlag. Afterwards two approved Saxon documents which are used in the education system will be applied in the comparison. The most important sources, however, are the German-English version of the game itself and the playing instruction.

This investigation is significant because it spots the fundamental didactic differences between Ancient Greek and the modern languages and applies the gained knowledge in the practical part by designing an appropriate Ancient Greek version of the game.

1In translation: „Language represents a bridge between cultures and „New Amici!™‘ is the key to that." Quoted from http://www.hueber.de/sixcms/media.php/36/Info_nea.pdf.
2 Analysis of the found project

2.1 History

"iNew Amigos!™" was developed in the year 2002 in Norway by the Norwegian musician Lakki Patey. The goal of this game is to learn languages easily and above all with more fun. However, in the beginning the game only was available in Norway for learning English, German and French. By 2009 the game was sold more than 300000 times. In tests, the game was rated mostly positive. Furthermore, the game is recommended by various language schools.

2.2 Set of Rules

2.2.1 General Information

"iNew Amici!™" is a game of dice. The four players move their respective token on the oval gaming surface depending on the number of points shown on the dice. For each field on which the player may stop there is a stack of cards. These cards can be language cards. On the language cards are words or phrases that need to be translated. If the expression has been translated correctly, the player receives the card. In case of wrong answer, the card must be put back on the deck.

2.2.2 Three Rounds

Initially, all players start in the home round. Here the players translate from a foreign language into the mother tongue.

---

2 See http://newamigos.net/spiel.php.
3 See PowerPoint Presentation „New Amici Präsentation-2009“.
4 The game, for instance, was evaluated with 3,4 out of 6 stars by 2008 users on the popular website http://www.michas-spielmitmir.de/spieletests.php?id=new_amici. On the website's rating 5 out of 6 stars were awarded. On http://www.spielkult.de/amici.htm, there were 7 of 10 cult-factor points awarded.
5 For example Kern, Tandem, Schröder & Schröder, VHS, European Languages School, Goethe Institut, Norway and TES (Tools for communication). See http://www.hueber.de/seite/pg_info_nea.
6 In order to work out this section the instructions in the manual which belong to the game directly were used primarily.
7 This also can be found on the website http://www.reich-der-spiele.de/kritiken/NewAmici.
8 An appropriate image "playing surface" is in 6.1 Appendix / images.
9 Besides the Joker and the raven, which will not be taken into consideration.
10 There are also quiz cards or quiz- and role-playing cards and the joker and the raven field in the game. However there will be no elaboration on those cards.
When a player has collected seven cards he or she is in the travel round in which the text on the language cards is read in the native language and translated into the respective foreign language.

Once in the travel round seven cards were collected, the final round follows. Here the player tries to translate all the collected cards into the foreign language. In the case of correct translation the respective player wins the game. If a word on a card is translated incorrectly, the player may try again in the next round.

In the final round it is special that on one hand the earned cards from the travel round are ‘only’ translated into the foreign language again, but on the other hand, the cards from the home round now also need to be translated into the foreign language while in the first round these cards only had to be translated into the native language. This means in the finals the players have to answer questions that have not previously been answered in this way. Thus, the difficulty increases every round.

### 2.2.3 Three Levels of Performance

Before starting the game, each player decides whether he or she wants to play on beginner, advanced or expert level. Beginners start on the yellow, advanced on the orange and experts on the red lane.\(^{11}\) For every lane there are special language cards.

### 2.2.4 Four Categories of Tasks per Level in the Rounds 1 and 2

The game features different language cards that are further divided into four different categories.

The language cards of the beginners contain the categories ‘vocabulary’, ‘time expressions’, ‘basic expressions’ and ‘numbers’. Players who move on the orange lane translate ‘vocabulary’, ‘verbs’, ‘phrases’ and words about ‘food and beverage’. Experts have to deal with ‘vocabulary’, ‘conjugation of verbs’, ‘dialogue’ and ‘culture and society’.\(^{12}\)

---

\(^{11}\) See footnote 8.

\(^{12}\) Note that the higher the level of the player is, the more difficult or abstract are the categories of the expressions that should be translated.
2.3 Target Perspective

The game was developed and distributed as a game for learning languages\(^\text{13}\). By "¡New Amici!™" languages should be used outside of the school improving the individual language skill. The learning effect is central to the project\(^\text{14}\).

The social aspect of the game is not to be neglected\(^\text{15}\). Thus it is important for the creators to include as much gaming fun and interaction into the game as possible.

\(^{13}\) See for instance the subtitle of the game: "The Language Game".

\(^{14}\) This can be detected in some rules: wrong translated cards are placed on and not under the stack. Therefore they are drawn in most cases very soon. Hence it should be learned from mistakes. Afterwards the player has to apply what he or she learned. Similarly, this rule was interpreted on http://www.spiekult.de/amici.htm.

\(^{15}\) See the title of the game "¡New Amici!™", indication already on getting to know new friends.
3 Transfer of the Project Idea on Ancient Languages

In the following, the concept of „New Amici!™“ is related on the Ancient Greek language which is a dead language. A dead language is a language that has no more native speakers and is thus no longer actively spoken. Therefore old Greek lessons are not focused on foreign-language communication. However, the language game „New Amici!™“ is adapted to new languages and thus communication oriented. Therefore foreign-language communication theoretically has to be given up when aiming for an Ancient Greek version of the game.

This can be done by changing or removing elements, rules and aspects of the game. So after the comparison it should be clear what needs to be changed in order to convey Ancient Greek language knowledge with a game which is actually designed for Modern languages and hence for communication.

3.1 Target Perspective of New and Old Foreign Languages

3.1.1 Principles and Functions of Teaching Modern Languages

3.1.1.1 Fields of Activity

In order to adequately communicate in different languages, the learner has to be capable of using the fields of activity: reception, interaction, production and mediation.

The reception works primarily orally, written and audio-visually. Here students receive and process texts auditory and visual in order to understand it globally, selectively or in detail and draw conclusions.17

Production includes speaking and writing. The learner produces a spoken or written text that can be received consequently.

Interaction combines receptive and productive activities, since during oral communication the student alternately is speaker and listener.

---

16 The main source for this section was the “Gesamtkonzept-Sprachliche Bildung” (Translated „Overall concept – language education“.; hereinafter, ‘Gk-SB’). I use this document, because it represents, in detail, the principles and functions of foreign language education. Even though I cannot assume that the producers of „New Amici!™“ used the ‘Gk-SB’ as a basis for creating the language game, the principles of foreign language education will still be found in the game.

During **mediation** the learner mediates between two languages. Interpreting and translating are classic examples, but mediation also includes paraphrasing and summarizing content.

These four fields of activity should not be seen in isolation. Since the interaction is for example a combination of reception and production.

Regarding the language game ‘¡New Amici!™’ especially the fields of activity Interaction and mediation are needed during game play.

### 3.1.1.2 Specific language-related Competence

To accomplish the communicative acts which are mentioned in the fields of activity the student needs **specific language-related competence**\(^{18}\). This competence is divided into the **linguistic**, the **sociolinguistic** and the **pragmatic competence**.

The **linguistic competence** is defined as knowing and applying certain formal means\(^{19}\) in order to formulate meaningful messages.

If the student knows about the social dimension of language use\(^{20}\) he can apply the **sociolinguistic competence**.

The **pragmatic competence** describes the knowledge of principles of organization, structure and use of communications in order to fulfill a communicative function.

In ‘¡New Amici!™’ linguistic competence\(^ {21}\) and sociolinguistic competence\(^ {22}\) are partially mediated. The pragmatic competence is applied only to a small extent\(^ {23}\).

### 3.1.1.3 Ability to act intercultural

Further principles of the learning of foreign languages are **interculturality**\(^ {24}\), the **ability to act intercultural** which refers, in contrast to pure regional studies, to the

---

\(^{18}\) Compare ibidem, p. 31.

\(^{19}\) Especially in the areas of vocabulary, grammar, semantics and phonology.

\(^{20}\) For instance the linguistic identification of social relations, polite conventions, idioms, sayings, quotes, and proverbial sayings, register differences, dialect and accent.

\(^{21}\) Verbs are conjugated, which is necessary, to formulate shapely meaningful messages. Sometimes at the higher levels quite difficult sentences are formed, which requires and supports linguistic competence. However, there are no grammar exercises that have the goal to create awareness of the sentence structure. Thus at this point it is obvious that one cannot learn a foreign language just by playing ‘¡New Amici!™’, since grammar is barely taught at the beginner level and already required at the expert and advanced levels.

\(^{22}\) Since the players already deal at the beginner level with the card category 'Basic Expressions', in which shorter phrases are sometimes requested using conventions of politeness.

\(^{23}\) Since the messages that are exchanged during the examined project are so short and already given so that the players do not need to think much about the organization and structure.

\(^{24}\) ‘Gk-SB’, p. 31.
social skills of the student and language awareness which includes the reflection of the own and the foreign language.

3.1.1.4 Subject-related Strategies

The subject-related strategies support the development of method competence, social skill and learning competence of the students. Those include many activities of the student that are necessary to initiate and maintain foreign-language communication.25

3.1.2 Principles and Functions of Teaching Ancient Greek26

3.1.2.1 Reflection of the Mother Tongue

Linguistic, cultural and social skills are requested and encouraged by Greek language education. Thereby a reflection of the mother tongue shall occur because the student learns a new language system and can consequently reflect this in contrast to the mother tongue.

Moreover, the ancient languages allow a special extension of the intercultural ability to act.27 This means the pupils are encouraged to reflect on the present and also their own language. Contrastive cultural competence should be promoted. I think it would be very difficult to incorporate this component into a Greek version of the game since normally it has a playing time of less than two hours.

3.1.2.2 Further subject-related Aims

A general specific objective of the Greek education is the development of the ability to observe and describe linguistic matters, to the analysis and synthesis, to discourse, for verification and transfer, to the critical use of media and for presentation.

These goals are imposed on the entire Greek lessons, where the student spends much time. However, it is difficult to make such demands on a language game.

25 For example the acquisition of linguistic means, the skill-related strategies, the strategies related on foreign-language communication, strategies regarding the voice and text reflection and the strategies of ‘learning to learn’.
26 ‘The ‘Lehrplan Griechisch am Gymnasium in Sachsen’ (Translated: ‘Greek curriculum at schools in Saxony’): Lehrplan sächsisches Gymnasium, Griechisch, publisher: Sächsisches Staatsministerium für Kultus und Sport (www.sachsen-macht-schule.de’), p. 2-5), in the following, ‘LP’, will be used to find out the aims and objectives of the Greek classes.
27 ‘Gk-SB’, p. 32.
Consequently, an Ancient Greek version of the game does not satisfy all of the above objectives. For the reason that it is very difficult to introduce these goals which are complex processes.

The description and observation of linguistic matters will be partially introduced to the game. However, care must be taken that the game does not become too theoretical. The general game character of the project shall remain.

### 3.1.2.3 Fields of Activity

In Ancient Greek language education the fields of activity **reception, interaction** and **production** are implemented.

The mentioned fields of activity are, however, mainly used in the **native language** of the learners during the linguistic and interpretive elaboration of texts in the areas of speaking, reading and writing.  

The communicative act thus refers not to the old language but the language which is used in the discussion.

Thus, if one acts strictly according to the guidelines of the curriculum, one cannot demand interaction in Greek in the game, because the fields of activity are only applied in the native language of the player. However, this would be too ambitious anyway.

### 3.1.2.4 Subject-related Strategies

The ‘LP’ includes the **subject-related strategies** in all grades, in which Greek is taught. These are **decoding**, **recoding** and **interpretation**.

In the Greek lessons one **decodes**, which is a process of processing a message on all linguistic levels, in order to decrypt the coded Greek text. Firstly the text has to be analyzed in the original language, in this case Greek.

After the decoding, the **interpretation** of the meanwhile decoded text may follow.

The third subject-related strategy is the **recoding**. It requires the decoding, but not necessarily the interpretation. During the recoding the meanwhile decoded text gets converted into the code of the target language.

---

29 *'LP*, p. 3.
31 Compare to http://www.duden.de/rechtschreibung/Recodierung.
3.1.3 Results of the Comparison

3.1.3.1 Similarities
The modern foreign school languages and Ancient Greek have in common that both have the task to teach regional studies and intercultural ability to act. Approximations to other cultures should be created. Especially Greek, but also the new languages classes should encourage conscious language reflection. The language reflection should have a positive impact along with other components on the language awareness. Furthermore, as well the Greek lessons, as the modern language classes aim on creating knowledge about language analysis and the observation of linguistic matters.

3.1.3.2 Differences
The new languages are in contrast to the Greek communication-oriented languages, and have as a goal to train the student's awareness of communication. That, at least on foreign language level, is not the aim of learning ancient Greek. Therefore, it is not communicated in Greek, but in the mother tongue. Other major differences between the modern languages and the Greek are the fields of activity, since there is no mediation in Greek lessons and the fields of activity are used in the native language.

Also the subject-related strategies of the two compared objects are different from each other. Thus the subject related strategies of the new languages contain a broader range of activities. Whereas in Greek lessons, only subject related strategies are taught, that can be applied directly to the text. These three strategies are of immense importance for the Greek, but in my opinion also other strategies should be provided which are not directly in the context of the text. To a certain extent these strategies are the tools that are needed to apply the subject-specific strategies of the Greek teaching.

3.1.3.3 Active Vocabulary
It is a difficulty that in the Greek subject communication in the target language, Greek, is completely neglected. Of course it is very difficult to communicate in Greek. As it appears to me, Greek learners should be able to formulate at least basic

32 decoding, interpreting and recoding
phrases in Greek. That makes the fascination of language possible. Therefore in Greek a **minimum of active vocabulary** is important.

### 3.2 Modified Target Perspective resulting from the Comparison

After the comparison the similarities and differences between modern language and Ancient Greek language education were made clear. In order to answer the research question it is now necessary to investigate what should be modified regarding the target perspective. Thus, there will be unchanged and modified components regarding the target perspective.

#### 3.2.1 Unchanged Components

The modified version of the project shall continue to be aimed at improving the **language skills** of the players and arouse **interest** and **curiosity** about the Greek language and culture. It should be focused on the **learning effect** and addresses the **regional studies**, so that the general education and **intercultural ability to act** of the player can be improved. Furthermore, by language reflection and partly linguistic analysis the **language and communication awareness** of the players should be at least affected.

However, the **fundamental nature** of the project is to be maintained.

That means that the game must not become too lengthy as it would stop the flow of the game too much when a player would spend more than two minutes with a card. Hence the tasks on the cards cannot be too complex and time consuming.

#### 3.2.2 Modified Components

From the preservation of the fundamental nature of the game, it follows that the interpretation of texts almost never occurs, since for the interpretation a long text is necessary which probably could not be approached correctly within two minutes.\(^{33}\)

**Decoding** and **recoding**, however, may still occur more frequently, as it is possible to decode a text only in terms of one predominant feature\(^ {34} \) and to recode just very small parts of a text.

---

\(^ {33} \) An interpretation must always be based on a decoding which cannot be done in the given time. The game would have to give more information about the circumstances of the formation of the text and history of impact and reception of each document, which is beyond the scope.
Since speaking Ancient Greek and translating into Greek are no learning objectives they will be held on a fairly low level. Of course, I think a minimum of active vocabulary is for the fascination of language extremely important, but it is not a learning goal and is therefore reduced and only required at a low level.

34 Hereby proper names, the distribution of connectors, the distribution of persons, the distribution of tense, mode and diathesis, the word fields, the sentence types and striking rhetorical devices are meant.
4 Planning of an Ancient Greek Version of the Project\textsuperscript{35}

Now, that the modifications in the target perspective are shown the next step is to plan what changes regarding the language cards are necessary after the above considerations in order to convey Ancient Greek language knowledge using the game.

4.1 General Considerations

There are no changes regarding the basic game mechanics. Thus, the game stays a dice game for four persons maintaining its \textbf{basic character}. For each field where the player may stop, there is a deck of cards with respective tasks. The language cards are divided into four categories that need to be translated. The learning effect is still the main goal. The round system will be maintained.

The playing surface has been modified\textsuperscript{36}. But now there are only two lanes (levels) at which the players can move.

4.2 Two general Levels of Performance

The modified version of ¡New Amici!™ is divided into two levels of performance.

One is for beginners who are already learning Greek for half a year being in their first or second year of learning Greek.

Basic knowledge of Ancient Greek is required for playing. Therefore one should have learned Ancient Greek at least for six months to get involved in the game.

Someone who has never studied Ancient Greek is not able to play the game, just as it is with the versions in the new language. This player is dependent on the other players and the game is for the player hardly purposeful while fun is reduced.

At the second level the advanced students who learned Greek for more than two years prove themselves.

The number of levels is reduced because there are too few people who could play at a third level, the expert level. Even for someone who already learns Greek for five or six years the advanced level will be challenging.

\textsuperscript{35} From the changed target perspective result some changes in the set of rules because some components of the game would no longer be purposeful.

\textsuperscript{36} It is inspired from the playing surface of the found project: see image:„Gaming surface“ in bullet 6.2.
4.3 *Language Cards*

4.3.1 Home Round

In the *home round* the language cards are still translated from the foreign language into the mother tongue. Here, the general level of questions is higher than in the subsequent *travel round*.

4.3.2 Travel Round

During the travel round very simple vocabulary and small sentences will be translated from the native language into Greek. Here the difficulty of the vocabulary changes even from the home to the travel round *within one level of performance*, since it is more challenging to translate into Greek than the other way around. Accordingly, the actual words that are translated during the travel round are quite simple words that are learnt in Greek classes during the first six months.

This means that it is necessary to design as well for the beginner level as for the advanced level more demanding cards for translating into the native language and simpler cards for translating into Greek.

4.3.3 Final Round

Here, not all cards are translated into the target language since the players would have to translate the rather demanding foreign words which were translated from Ancient Greek into the modern language the other way around, which would be too demanding. Thus, the cards from the home round are again translated into the mother tongue and the cards from the travel round are accordingly translated into Ancient Greek.

---

37 Some cards are designed as in the appendix (in bullet '6.1 sample cards') in list format. The modified game interface is also reproduced in the appendix. Regarding the sample cards it has to be mentioned that they only have an exemplary character.
4.3.4 Four Categories per Level of Performance in Home and Travel Round

4.3.4.1 General Considerations

According to the general level of play of the player there are the different language cards which are divided into four different categories in the modified version of the game. When selecting the categories of the project, particular emphasis was paid to ensure that the categories that exist in the advanced level are based on the categories of the beginner level.\(^{38}\)

The four categories of the beginner levels of the Ancient Greek variant are ‘vocabulary’, Basic expressions’, ‘decoding’ and ‘flexion’. These categories provide the basis for ‘vocabulary’, ‘sentences’, ‘decoding’ and ‘flexion’ at the higher level.

4.3.4.2 Vocabulary

It is necessary that players train their vocabulary knowledge to learn the language. In the beginner level vocabulary is queried which is learnt in the first and second year of Greek, in the advanced level, the players are dealing with vocabulary they learn since the third year of Greek lessons.\(^{39}\)

4.3.4.3 Basic Expressions’ (Level 1) and Sentences’ (Level 2)

The categories, ‘Basic expressions’ and ‘sentences’ are adopted from the encountered variant of the project. Thereby the category ‘Basic expressions’ establishes the basis for the category ‘sentences’, since it is important to know the basic terms and short phrases of the Ancient Greek language in order to translate texts. In the category ‘Basic expressions’ mainly words or short phrases are requested. In the higher level there are ‘Essential expressions’ where short sentences shall be translated.

---

\(^{38}\) This was partially done in the English-German version where the categories ‘sentences’ and ‘Food and Drink’ from level 2 to lead to ‘dialogue’ and ‘culture and society’ at the expert level.

\(^{39}\) As already mentioned, in the travel round considerably simpler vocabulary queried as in the home round.
4.3.4.4 Inflection[^40]

For the reason that declension and conjugation are essential parts of Ancient Greek grammar, they will be conflated in the category ‘inflection’, which is available in both levels of performance.

In the beginner level basic nouns of the o-declination, the a-declination and verbs in the present tense, aorist and future are requested.

In the advanced level difficult nouns with prefixed demonstrative pronouns shall be declined and verbs in other modi than the indicative shall be conjugated.

4.3.4.5 Decoding[^41]

In the category ‘decoding’ in the advanced-level in the home round, the player shall decode a short text with respect to a predominant feature[^41] of the text[^42]. This helps the learner of the Ancient Greek language at decoding and thus also at the translation of texts.

In the travel round, for example, a word field is given to the player. He then should find words fitting into this word field. Some possible solutions are also published on the cards.[^43]

At the easier level the basis to decode is taught. That means players are dealing with a text feature that is needed in order to decode a text. Here the player has to recognize, for example, which is the appropriate superior word field when three words are given.

In the travel round and at the beginner level, the player, for example, has to list three Ancient Greek words that match a given word field.

[^40]: Note: there is no category ‘declension’ in the encountered project, because this category would be unnecessary in a German-English version. Therefore, in the said version there are only the categories ‘verbs’ and ‘conjugation’ and not ‘declension’.

[^41]: Hereby proper names, the distribution of connectors, the distribution of persons, the distribution of tense, mode and diathesis, the word fields, the sentence types and striking rhetorical devices are meant.

[^42]: The texts represent literature from many different genres, such as the "History" of Herodotus, "Oedipus Rex" by Sophocles, the "Odyssey" by Homer, "Anabasis" of Xenophon and "Daphnis and Chloe" "Longos".

[^43]: It is problematic that, especially regarding the word fields, there are many potentially suitable terms that cannot all be printed on the cards. Therefore when playing it is recommended to have a dictionary nearby. Thus it can be looked up whether a word is appropriate.
5 Conclusion

The aim of this essay was to develop a concept of how to modify the language cards in the language learning game ‘!New Amici!TM’ in order to convey Ancient Greek language skills and knowledge.

One main modification regarding the language cards is that there are only two levels of performance which the players can select at the start of the game. This is due to the fact that only very few players would have the skill to play on a third level, since already the advanced level is very challenging.

Furthermore, there are new categories for the language cards. Now every category in the beginner level establishes the basis for the respective category on the advanced level. So if a player plays in his first year of Ancient Greek language education on the easier lane he or she may advance to the harder level after for instance another year of Ancient Greek. They will be well prepared to do that after playing on the easier level.

The biggest change is that now speaking Ancient Greek is included in the game. The player has to translate from the mother tongue into Ancient Greek which is challenging but fascinating, since a minimum of active vocabulary is required which helps every language learner. It also supports own translations and thus, own language reflection can also take place.

Since the direct playing experience cannot be made, it is problematic to say with absolute certainty whether a modified version of ‘!New Amici!TM’ could be the key to the Ancient Greek language and culture. However, we can state that one can improve the personal knowledge of the Ancient Greek language and culture with the help of the modified project.
# Appendix

## 6.1 Sample Cards

### 6.1.1 Beginner Level

#### 6.1.1.1 Home Round (Translating into the Mother Tongue)

##### 6.1.1.1.1 Category 'Vocabulary'

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word to be translated</th>
<th>Translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>γαθός</td>
<td>good, brave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>πολλοί</td>
<td>many</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ναγκα ὃς</td>
<td>necessary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>πείθομαι</td>
<td>I am persuaded, obey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>βουλή, - ζ</td>
<td>the council, plan, decision</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

##### 6.1.1.1.2 Category 'Basic Expressions'

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expression to be translated</th>
<th>Translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ν ὂ κινδύνυ</td>
<td>in danger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μανθάνειν παρ τ ὦ φίλων</td>
<td>to learn from the friends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λέγειν περ τ ὄ ψυχ ῥς</td>
<td>to talk about the soul/ life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μετ τ ὦ θάνατον</td>
<td>after the death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>χρ ο ζείν</td>
<td>it is necessary to save</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

##### 6.1.1.1.3 Category 'Inflection'

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word to be inflected</th>
<th>Correct inflection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>παιδεύετε</td>
<td>you educate (Pres. Ind. Act. 2nd P. Pl.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θεραπεύσα</td>
<td>I nursed (Aor. Ind. Act. 1st P. Sg.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>κινδύνευσεν</td>
<td>he was in danger (Aor. Ind. Act. 3rd P. Sg.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τ ᾦ δούλοις</td>
<td>the slave (Dat. Pl.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τ ᾦ δόξας</td>
<td>our opinions (Akk. Pl.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

##### 6.1.1.1.4 Category 'Decoding'

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Which is the appropriate word field?</td>
<td>Word field: speak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λέγω, γορεύω, μείβομαι, ποκρίνομαι</td>
<td>lining up connectors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What kind of connectors are καί, τε, ?</td>
<td>it is Aorist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is striking regarding the tense?</td>
<td>it is Aorist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γυμνάσαντο, κινδύνευσεν, κελεύσεν</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 6.1.1.2 Travel Round (Translating into Ancient Greek)

#### 6.1.1.2.1 Category, Vocabulary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word to be translated</th>
<th>Translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>the friend</td>
<td>φίλος, -ου</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I say</td>
<td>λέγω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the sea</td>
<td>πόντος, -ου</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the god</td>
<td>θεός, -όυ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I begin, rule</td>
<td>ρχω</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 6.1.1.2.2 Category, Basic Expressions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expression to be translated</th>
<th>Translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>to rule the world</td>
<td>ρχεῖν τοῦ κόσμου</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>as well ... as</td>
<td>καὶ ... καὶ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>during sleep</td>
<td>κατὰ τὸν πυὸν</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in the theatre</td>
<td>ν ἐν θεάτρῳ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to sacrifice god</td>
<td>τὰ θέσθαι</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 6.1.1.2.3 Category, Inflection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word to be inflected</th>
<th>Correctly inflected word</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>you see (Pres. Ind. Act. 2\textsuperscript{nd} P. Sg.)</td>
<td>βλέπεις</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>we hurried (Aor. Ind. Act. 1\textsuperscript{st} P. Pl.)</td>
<td>σπεύσαμεν</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>they were trained (Aor. Ind. Pas. 3\textsuperscript{rd} P. Pl.)</td>
<td>γυμνόσαντο</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the son (Dat. Sg.)</td>
<td>τὸν</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the citizens (Acc. Pl.)</td>
<td>τοῖς πολίτοις</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 6.1.1.2.4 Category, Decoding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name two temporally or spatially classing connectors!</td>
<td>πειδή, χή, τε, πρίν, νίκα, ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name two words fitting the word field „speak”!</td>
<td>λέγω, γορεύω, μείβομαι, ποκρίνομαι</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.1.2 Advanced Level

6.1.2.1 Home Round (Translating into the Mother Tongue)

6.1.2.1.1 Category, Vocabulary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word to be translated</th>
<th>Translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>τ τόξον, -ou</td>
<td>the bow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α σθάνομαι (mit Gen.)</td>
<td>I perceive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>κωλύω</td>
<td>I prevent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>νω</td>
<td>up, on top</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λβιος, -α, -ον</td>
<td>happy, wealthy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.1.2.1.2 Category, Sentences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sentence to be translated</th>
<th>Translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>λλ' ρακλ ζ τ ργων κατηγράσατο καίπερ χάλετ ι ν.</td>
<td>But Heracles did the work even though it was difficult.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δύσσεύς μάνθανε το ζ ταίρους θύμο νιας.</td>
<td>Ulysses noticed that the companions were discouraged.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ο ν ν τ τ θηναίων θαυμάζουσιν.</td>
<td>Today's humans admire the Athenians.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ο δίκαστα σκέψαντο, ε Σωκράτης τ ν λήθειαι ε ποι.</td>
<td>The judges checked whether Socrates said the truth.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.1.2.1.3 Category, Inflection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word to be inflected</th>
<th>Correct inflection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>βουλεύεσθε</td>
<td>you wanted (Impf. Med. 2nd P. Pl.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>στρατεύσασθιν</td>
<td>(Aor. Opt. Akt. 1.P. Sg.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>διώχθησαν</td>
<td>they were trailed (Aor. Ind. Pas. 3rd P. Pl.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τ δε τ βασιλε</td>
<td>this king (Dat. Sg.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τούσδε τος ρως</td>
<td>these heroes (Acc. Pl.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.1.2.1.4 Category, Decoding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name a striking field of objects!</td>
<td>field of objects: the uninhabited island (ο τ ρότοιασιν / σπαρτος κα νήροτος / ο μ ν γ ρ πάτος νθρώπων / ο τ ρ α ποίμν σιν / νδρ ν χηρεύει)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>vdr ν χηρεύει, βόσκει δέ τε μηκάδας α γας.</strong> (Homer „Odyssey“ Book 9, 116-124)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Decide the text regarding the tense forms!** 
*ντα θ μεινέν μέρας τρες, νας ξένιας ρκς κτ Λύκαια θυσε κα γ να θηκε, τ δ θλα σαν απλεγίδες χρυσα, θεώρει δ τ ν γ να κα Κ ροσ.* 
( Xenophon „Anabasis“ 1,2,10) |
| Aorist and Imperfect are to be found. 
( μεινεν, θυσε, θηκε, σαν, θεώρει) |
| **Describe the distribution of persons!** 
Δόρκων μ ν δ τοσα τα ε π ν κα φίλημα φιλήσας στατον φ κεν μα τ φιλήματι τ ν ψωχήν. δ Χλόη λαβο σα τ ν σύριγγα κα νθε σα το η χείλεσιν σύριτεν ζ δυνατό μέγιστον. κα α βόες κοίουσι κα τ μέλος γνωρίζουσι κα ρμ μη μικησάμεναι πηδ σιν ες κτ ν θάλατταν. 
( Longos „Daphnis and Chloe“ Book 1, Chapter 30, Part 1) |
| The 3rd person is used. verwendet. Firstly Dorcon, then Chloë then the cows are focused. |
| **Describe the distribution of connectors!** 
μ ν δ μέγα πλούσιος νόλβιος δ δυο σι προέχει το ε τυχέος μο νον, ο τος δ το πλούσιο κα νόλβιο πολλο σι, μ ν πιθυμήν κτέλεσαι κα την μεγάλην προστεσο σαν νε και δυνατώτερος, δ το σιδε προέχει κεινού: 
(„Histories“ by Herodotus, Book 1, Chapter 32, Part 6) |
| The following connectors are striking: 
μ ν δ , δε, ο τος δ , μ ν - δ . " μ ν" - δ " are opposed to each other. |
| **Analyze the text regarding the distribution of persons!** 
Τε: ε π ν πειμ νο νέκ , λθον, ο τ σ ν δέζας πρόσωπον. ο γρ σθ που μ λε ζ. 
λέγω δε σοι: τ ν νόρα τον, ν πάλαι ξίτεις ς πειλ ν κ νακρύψων φόνον τ ν Λαίειον, ο τός στιν νθάδε, ξένος λόγ μέτοικος, ε τα δ γγεν ς φανήσεται Θηβα ς, ο δ σθήσεται τ ξύμφωρ : 
[...] κα τα τ ν ε σω λογίζου: κ ν λάβς ς φευμιμένον, φάσκειν μ δη μαντικ μηδ ν φρονε ν. 
(Sophocles „, The Oedipus Tyrannus“ 447-462) |
| Tiresias starts his logos with the 1st P.Sg. and uses the 2nd P.Sg. in order to address Oedipus. His statements about the "wanted person" are made using the 3rd P.Sg.. Finally Tiresias talks to Oedipus using the 2nd P.Sg.. |

6.1.2.2 Travel Round (Translating into Ancient Greek) 

6.1.2.2.1 Category „Vocabulary“

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word to be translated</th>
<th>Translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>the revenge, penalty</th>
<th>τιμωρία, -ας</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I force</td>
<td>ναγκάζω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>since, when</td>
<td>πειδή</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the ally, confederate</td>
<td>σύμμαχος, -ου</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the leader, commander</td>
<td>γεμών, -όνος</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6.1.2.2 Category ,Sentences‘

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expression to be translated</th>
<th>Translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I think the life is good.</td>
<td>Τ ν βιον νομίζω γαθόν.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We talk to the strangers.</td>
<td>Διαλεγόμεθα το ζ ξένως.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeus rules the world.</td>
<td>Ζεύς το κόσμου ρχει.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I fled into the house.</td>
<td>φυγὼν ε τ ο θέατρ ε σίν.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Many people are in the theatre.</td>
<td>Πολλό νθρώποι ν τ θεάτρ ε σίν.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6.1.2.3 Category ,Inflection‘

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word to be inflected</th>
<th>Correctly inflected word</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>we walked (Aor. Ind. Act. 1st P. Pl.)</td>
<td>δράμομεν</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I gave (Aor. Ind. Act. 1st P. Sg.)</td>
<td>ρέξα</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>he has written (Perf. Ind. Act. 3rd P. Sg.)</td>
<td>γέγραφεν</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>this rock (Dat. Sg.)</td>
<td>τ δε τ πέτρ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>my thoughts (Akk. Pl.)</td>
<td>τ ς διανοίας μου</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6.1.2.4 Category ,Decoding‘

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Possible solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name to words corresponding to the word field ,destroy'!</td>
<td>λλυμι, λувω, διαφθείρω, καταλύω, φανίζω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name three words corresponding to the field of objects ,town'!</td>
<td>πόλις, δ μος, ο κος, κοινός, κοιν, βουλή, πολιτεία, πολιτής, γορά, πολιτεύω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name three explaining, concluding or explaining connectors!</td>
<td>γάρ, κα γάρ, ο ν, ο κο ν, τοίνυν, τοίχαρ, τι, ς, πειδή, τεί</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Which of the words differs from the others regarding the tense form?</td>
<td>It is 'γέγραφεν', since it is a Perfect, not an Aorist.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.2 Images


Image „Modified gaming surface“: Created by Fabian Mikulasch.
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